



TITLE:

OPTIMAL STOPPING OF A RISK PROCESS WHEN CLAIMS ARE COVERED IMMEDIATELY(Mathematical Economics)

AUTHOR(S):

MUCIEK, BOGDAN K.; SZAJOWSKI, KRZYSZTOF J.

CITATION:

MUCIEK, BOGDAN K. ...[et al]. OPTIMAL STOPPING OF A RISK PROCESS WHEN CLAIMS ARE COVERED IMMEDIATELY(Mathematical Economics). 数理解析研究所講究録 2007, 1557: 132-139

ISSUE DATE:

2007-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/81019>

RIGHT:

OPTIMAL STOPPING OF A RISK PROCESS WHEN CLAIMS ARE COVERED IMMEDIATELY

BOGDAN K. MUCIEK AND KRZYSZTOF J. SZAJOWSKI

ABSTRACT. The optimal stopping problem for the risk process with interests rates and when claims are covered immediately is considered. An insurance company receives premiums and pays out claims which have occurred according to a renewal process and which have been recognized by them. The capital of the company is invested at interest rate $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the size of claims increase at rate $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ according to inflation process. The immediate payment of claims decreases the company investment by rate α_1 . The aim is to find the stopping time which maximizes the capital of the company. The improvement to the known models by taking into account different scheme of claims payment and the possibility of rejection of the request by the insurance company is made. It leads to essentially new risk process and the solution of optimal stopping problem is different.

1. INTRODUCTION

The following problem in collective risk theory (see Rolski et al. (1998)) is considered. An insurance company, endowed with an initial capital $a > 0$, receives premiums and pays out claims that occur according to a renewal process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$, where $N(t)$ is the number of losses up till time t . The initial capital of the insurance company and received premiums are invested at a constant rate of return $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $T_0 = 0$ and let T_i denotes the time of the i -th loss, then random variables $\zeta_i = T_i - T_{i-1}$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with cumulative distribution function (cdf) F , such that $F(0) = 0$. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables independent of $\{\zeta_i\}$, with cdf H with $H(0) = 0$. The sequence $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ represents values of successive claims. Usually the costs of damages elimination increase. It is modelled by the rate $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If a claim appears

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G40; 60K99; Secondary 90A46.

Key words and phrases. Risk reserve process, optimal stopping, dynamic programming, interest rates.

This paper is a preliminary version, and the final form will be published elsewhere.

The research was supported by KBN grant no 2 P03A 021 22 (350228).

JEL classification: C61.

Subject Category and Insurance Branch Category: IE43 IM51 IM10.

at moment T_n , then the company have to pay $X_n e^{\beta T_n}$. This amount of money decreases the company investment by rate α_1 and, as a consequence of that, at the end of investment period t the claim at T_n decreases the capital by $X_n e^{\beta T_n} e^{\alpha_1(t-T_n)}$. Although it may seem somewhat surprising at first glance, claims of size zero arise in some insurance contexts (see Panjer and Willmot (1992)). If a company records all claims as they are presented to the company and some claims are resisted, refused or a complete recovery of losses is made from another insurer, the net cost of the claim is zero. This effect is modelled by additional sequence of i.i.d random variables $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, independent of claims size process and the process of moments of claims. It is assumed that $\mathbf{P}\{\epsilon_n = 1\} = p$ and $\mathbf{P}\{\epsilon_n = 0\} = 1 - p$. The investigated process of capital assets of the insurance company is

$$(1) \quad U_t = ae^{\alpha t} + \int_0^t ce^{\alpha(t-s)} ds - \sum_{n=0}^{N(t)} \epsilon_n X_n e^{\beta T_n} e^{\alpha_1(t-T_n)},$$

where $a > 0$ is the initial capital, $c > 0$ is a constant rate of income from the insurance premiums, $X_0 = 0$ and $N(0) = 0$. The form of capital assets (1) reduces to

$$(2) \quad U_t = ae^{\alpha t} + ce^{\alpha t} \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha t}}{\alpha} - e^{\alpha_1 t} \sum_{n=0}^{N(t)} \epsilon_n X_n e^{\beta_1 T_n}$$

where $\beta_1 = \beta - \alpha_1$. Let $g(u, t) = g_1(u)I_{\{t \geq 0\}}$, where g_1 is a utility function. The return at time t is $\{Z(t), t \geq 0\}$ and it is given by

$$(3) \quad Z(t) = g(U_t, t_0 - t) \prod_{j=0}^{N(t)} I_{\{U_{T_j} > 0\}} = g(U_t) I_{\{U_s > 0, s \leq t\}}$$

The optimal stopping problem for the process $Z(t)$ is investigated. The model with $\alpha = \beta = 0$ have been considered by Ferenstein and Sierociński (1997). Jensen (1997) investigated a similar model with a claim process modulated by periodic Markovian processes but without care for time value of money, formulated in (2). When the claims are paid from the capital of the company, it can be assumed that $\alpha = \alpha_1$. Muciek (2002) investigated the model given by (1) with $\alpha_1 = 0$ which described the case when the claims were paid at the end of the investing period. The improvement introduced here, which takes into account the consequence of the immediate payment of claims, change the considered risk process essentially. The model admitted will have an impact on the form of the strong generator for the process (2) as well as on the form of the dynamic programming equations, which are the tools for describing the solution of the optimal stopping problem for (3).

The considered process $Z(t)$ is the piecewise-deterministic process. The methods of solving the optimal stopping problem for such processes can be found in papers by Boshuizen and Gouweleeuw (1993) and Jensen (1997); Jensen and Hsu (1993) and

ON OPTIMAL STOPPING OF A RISK PROCESS

the monography by Davis (1993). Muciek (2002) has solved the optimal stopping problem for process (3) with $\alpha_1 = 0$ which is not direct consequence of the optimal stopping problem solution for model (1) with $\alpha_1 \neq 0$.

The organization of the paper is following. In the next section the optimal stopping problem for the process (3) is formulated. The case of the optimal stopping up to the fixed number of claims is the subject of investigation in the section 3. The solution of the problem for the infinite number of claims is given in the section 4.

2. THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

In this section we define an optimization problem for the model introduced in the previous section. This optimization problem will be solved in the next section.

Let $\mathcal{F}(t) = \sigma(U_s, s \leq t) = \sigma(X_1, \epsilon_1, T_1, \dots, X_{N(t)}, \epsilon_{N(t)}, T_{N(t)})$ be the σ -field generated by all the events up to time $t \geq 0$. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of all stopping times with respect to the family $\{\mathcal{F}(t), t \geq 0\}$. Furthermore, for fixed K and for $n = 0, 1, \dots, k < K$ let $\mathcal{T}_{n,K}$ denote the subset of \mathcal{T} , such that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{n,K}$ if and only if $T_n \leq \tau \leq T_K$ a.s.

Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}(T_n)$. The essence of the considerations in the next chapter will be to find the optimal stopping time τ_K^* , such that $EZ(\tau_K^*) = \sup\{EZ(\tau) : \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{0,K}\}$. In order to find the optimal stopping time τ_K^* , we first consider optimal stopping times $\tau_{n,K}^*$, such that

$$(4) \quad E(Z(\tau_{n,K}^*)|\mathcal{F}_n) = \text{ess sup}\{E(Z(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_n) : \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{n,K}\}$$

and using backward induction as in dynamic programming, we will obtain $\tau_K^* = \tau_{0,K}^*$

After finding the optimal stopping time τ_K^* for fixed K we will deal with unlimited number of claims and the aim will be to find the optimal stopping time τ^* , such that

$$(5) \quad EZ(\tau^*) = \sup\{EZ(\tau) : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

is fulfilled. It will be shown that τ^* can be defined as the limit of the finite horizon optimal stopping times. Such a stopping time in an insurance company management can be used as the best moment to recalculate premium rate.

3. CASE WITH FIXED NUMBER OF CLAIMS

In this section we find the form of optimal stopping time in the finite horizon case, which means the optimal stopping time in the class $\mathcal{T}_{0,K}$, where K is finite and fixed (the number of claims is fixed, but the time of the K th claim, ie. time horizon, remains non-deterministic). This is a technical assumption which allows

calculations for finite number of claims and generalize this result to the infinite number of claims. First we present dynamic programming equations satisfying $\Gamma_{n,K} = \text{ess sup}\{E(Z(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_n) : \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{n,K}\}$, $n = K, K-1, \dots, 1$. Then in Corollary 3.3 we find optimal stopping times $\tau_{n,K}^*$ and τ_K^* and optimal mean values of return related to them.

The following representation lemma (see for example Davis (1976)) plays the crucial role in consequent considerations:

Lemma 3.1. *If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{n,K}$, there exists a positive, \mathcal{F}_n -measurable random variable ξ , such that $\tau \wedge T_{n+1} = (T_n + \xi) \wedge T_{n+1}$ a.s.*

Let $\mu_0 = 1$ and $\mu_n = \prod_{j=1}^n I_{\{U_{T_j} > 0\}}$. Then $\Gamma_{K,K} = Z(T_K) = g(U_{T_K}, t_0 - T_K) \mu_K$. Note that the sum of claims from (2) can be expressed as

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{N(t)} \epsilon_n X_n e^{\beta_1 T_n} = \left(a e^{\alpha t} + \frac{c}{\alpha} (e^{\alpha t} - 1) - U_t \right) e^{-\alpha_1 t}$$

Let us define for $\xi > 0$ such that there is no jump between t and $t + \xi$

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} d(t, \xi, U_t) &= U_{t+\xi} - U_t = e^{\alpha t} \left(a + \frac{c}{\alpha} \right) (e^{\alpha \xi} - e^{\alpha_1 \xi}) \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{\alpha} (e^{\alpha_1 \xi} - 1) + (e^{\alpha_1 \xi} - 1) U_t, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$(8) \quad \mu_K = \mu_{K-1} I_{\{U_{T_{K-1}} + d(T_{K-1}, \zeta_K, U_{T_{K-1}}) - \epsilon_K X_K e^{\beta(T_{K-1} + \zeta_K)} > 0\}}.$$

Similarly as in Muciek (2002), Theorem 1, from (6) and from (7) we get the following dynamic programming equations:

(i): For $n = K-1, K-2, \dots, 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{n,K} &= \text{ess sup} \{ \mu_n \bar{F}(\xi) g(U_{T_n} + d(T_n, \xi, U_{T_n}), t_0 - T_n - \xi) \\ &\quad + E(I_{\{\xi \geq \zeta_{n+1}\}} \Gamma_{n+1,K} | \mathcal{F}_n) : \xi \geq 0 \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_n\text{-measurable} \} \quad \text{a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{F} = 1 - F$ is the survival function.

(ii): For $n = K, K-1, \dots, 0$, $\Gamma_{n,K} = \mu_n \gamma_{K-n}(U_{T_n}, T_n)$ a.s., where the sequence of functions $\{\gamma_j(u, t), u \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$, using (7), (6) and (8) is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0(u, t) &= g(u, t_0 - t), \\ \gamma_j(u, t) &= \sup_{r \geq 0} \left[\bar{F}(r) g(u + d(t, r, u), t_0 - t - r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + p \int_0^r dF(s) \int_0^{e^{-\beta(t+s)}(u + d(t, s, u))} \gamma_{j-1}(u + d(t, s, u) - x e^{\beta(t+s)}, t + s) dH(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-p) \int_0^r \gamma_{j-1}(u + d(t, s, u), t + s) H(e^{-\beta(t+s)}(u + d(t, s, u))) dF(s) \right] \\ j &= 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

ON OPTIMAL STOPPING OF A RISK PROCESS

The above equations differ from the ones in Theorem 1 in Muciek (2002) as a result of a different form of the capital assets process U_t .

The next step is to find the optimal stopping time τ_K^* . To do this we should analyze the properties of the sequence of functions $\{\gamma_n, n \geq 0\}$. Let $B = B[(-\infty, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)]$ be the space of all bounded and continuous functions with the norm $\|\delta\| = \sup_{u,t} |\delta(u, t)|$ and let $B^0 = \{\delta : \delta(u, t) = \delta_1(u, t)I_{\{t \leq t_0\}} \text{ and } \delta_1 \in B\}$. One should notice that the functions $\{\gamma_n, n \geq 0\}$ are included in B^0 . For each $\delta \in B^0$ and any $u \in \mathbb{R}, t, r \geq 0$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\delta(r, u, t) &= \bar{F}(r)g(u + d(t, r, u), t_0 - t - r) + \\ &+ (1 - p) \int_0^r \delta(u + d(t, s, u), t + s) H(e^{-\beta(t+s)}(u + d(t, s, u))) dF(s) \\ &+ p \int_0^r dF(s) \int_0^{e^{-\beta(t+s)}(u + d(t, s, u))} \delta(u + d(t, s, u) - xe^{\beta(t+s)}, t + s) dH(x). \end{aligned}$$

From the properties of the cumulative distribution function F we know that $\phi_\delta(r, u, t)$ has at most a countable number of points of discontinuity according to r and is continuous according to (u, t) in the case of $g_1(\cdot)$ being continuous and $t \neq t_0 - r$. Therefore, for further considerations we assume that the function $g_1(\cdot)$ is bounded and continuous.

For each $\delta \in B^0$ let

$$(9) \quad (\Phi\delta)(u, t) = \sup_{r \geq 0} \{\phi_\delta(r, u, t)\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For each $\delta \in B^0$ we have*

$$(\Phi\delta)(u, t) = \max_{0 \leq r \leq t_0 - t} \{\phi_\delta(r, u, t)\} \in B^0$$

and there exists a function $r_\delta(u, t)$ such that $(\Phi\delta)(u, t) = \phi_\delta(r_\delta(u, t), u, t)$.

In subsequent considerations more properties of Φ will be presented.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$, $\gamma_i(u, t)$ may be expressed as follows

$$\gamma_i(u, t) = \begin{cases} (\Phi\gamma_{i-1})(u, t) & \text{if } u \geq 0 \text{ and } t \leq t_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and from Lemma 3.2 there exist functions $r_{\gamma_{i-1}}$ such that

$$\gamma_i(u, t) = \begin{cases} \phi_{\gamma_{i-1}}(r_{\gamma_{i-1}}, u, t) & \text{if } u \geq 0 \text{ and } t \leq t_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To specify the form of the optimal stopping times $\tau_{n,K}^*$, we need to define the following random variables $R_i^* = r_{\gamma_{K-i+1}}(U_{T_i}, T_i)$ and $\sigma_{n,K} = K \wedge \inf\{i \geq n : R_i^* < \zeta_{i+1}\}$.

Finally in Corollary 3.3 we present the form of the optimal stopping time.

Corollary 3.3. *Let*

$$\tau_{n,K}^* = T_{\sigma_{n,K}} + R_{\sigma_{n,K}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_K^* = \tau_{0,K}^*,$$

then for all $0 \leq n \leq K$ the following hold

$$\Gamma_{n,K} = E(Z(\tau_{n,K}^*)|\mathcal{F}_n) \text{ a.s.} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{0,K} = E(Z(\tau_K^*)) = \gamma_K(a, 0),$$

which means $\tau_{n,K}^$ and τ_K^* are optimal stopping times in the classes $\mathcal{T}_{n,K}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{0,K}$ respectively.*

4. CASE WITH AN INFINITE NUMBER OF CLAIMS

While \mathcal{T} is the set of all stopping times with respect to the family $\{\mathcal{F}(t), t \geq 0\}$, we would like to maximize the mean return (3), i.e. to find the optimal stopping time τ^* , such that

$$(10) \quad EZ(\tau^*) = \sup\{EZ(\tau) : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

is fulfilled. It will be shown that τ^* can be defined as the limit of the finite horizon optimal stopping times.

Let τ_n^* be an optimal stopping time taken from the set of stopping times which occur not earlier than at T_n , the time of n -th claim, i.e. $EZ(\tau_n^*|\mathcal{F}_n) = \sup\{EZ(\tau|\mathcal{F}_n) : \tau \in \mathcal{T} \cap \{\tau : \tau \geq T_n\}\}$.

The solution of this case will be based on the iteration of the operator Φ defined by (9). By an assumption that the interarrival time is greater than t_0 with non-zero probability it can be proved that the operator Φ is a contraction and it has a fixed point.

The essence of this section is contained in the following theorem. The proof is based on the proof of the existence of optimal stopping times for semi-Markov processes presented by Boshuizen and Gouweleeuw (1993).

Theorem 4.1. *Assuming the utility function g_1 is differentiable and nondecreasing and F has a density function f we have*

- (i) *for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, the limit $\tilde{\tau}_n := \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n,K}^*$ exists and $\tilde{\tau}_n$ is an optimal stopping time in $\mathcal{T} \cap \{\tau : \tau \geq T_n\}$ ($\tau_{n,K}^*$ is a solution of the case with finite number of claims defined by (4)),*
- (ii) $E[Z(\tilde{\tau}_n)|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mu_n \gamma(U_{T_n}, T_n) \text{ a.s.}$

The optimality of $\tilde{\tau}_n$ may be proved in a similar way as in Boshuizen and Gouweleeuw (1993). The details may be found in Muciek (2002). Let us denote $\eta_t = (t, U_t, Y_t, V_t)$, where $Y_t = t - T_{N(t)}$, $V_t = \mu_{N(t)}$, $t \geq 0$. For \tilde{g} such that

ON OPTIMAL STOPPING OF A RISK PROCESS

$Z(t) = \tilde{g}(\eta_t)$ the strong generator A of η_t on \tilde{g} has the form (see Gihman and Skorokhod (1975))

$$\begin{aligned} (A\tilde{g})(t, u, y, v) = & \left\{ \left[(\alpha a + c)e^{\alpha t} - (\alpha_1 a + c \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha})e^{\alpha t} + (\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha}c + \alpha_1 u) \right] g'_1(u) \right. \\ & - \frac{f(y)}{\bar{F}(y)} \left[g_1(u) \bar{H}(ue^{-(\alpha_1 y + \beta(t-y))}) \right. \\ & - p \int_0^{ue^{-(\alpha_1 y + \beta(t-y))}} g_1(u - xe^{\alpha_1 y + \beta(t-y)}) dH(x) \\ & \left. \left. + pg_1(u) H(ue^{-(\alpha_1 y + \beta(t-y))}) \right] \right\} v, \end{aligned}$$

where $t < t_0$, $y \geq 0$ and $v \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} (A\tilde{g})(\eta_s) = & \left\{ \left[(\alpha a + c)e^{\alpha s} - (\alpha_1 a + c \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha})e^{\alpha s} + (\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha}c + \alpha_1 U_s) \right] g'_1(U_s) \right. \\ & + \frac{f(s - T_{N(s)})}{\bar{F}(s - T_{N(s)})} \left[p \int_0^{U_s e^{-(\alpha_1(s - T_{N(s)}) + \beta T_{N(s)})}} g_1(U_s - xe^{\alpha_1(s - T_{N(s)}) + \beta T_{N(s)})} dH(x) \right. \\ & - pg_1(U_s) H(U_s e^{-(\alpha_1(s - T_{N(s)}) + \beta T_{N(s)})}) \\ & \left. \left. - \bar{H}(U_s e^{-(\alpha_1(s - T_{N(s)}) + \beta T_{N(s)})}) g_1(U_s) \right] \right\} \mu_{N(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

It should also be marked that the limit of optimal stopping times as $K \rightarrow \infty$ coincide with the overall optimal stopping time.

5. FINAL REMARKS

The presented results generalize known solutions of the optimal stopping problems for the risk process (see Ferenstein and Sierociński (1997), Muciek (2002)) to more realistic models of risk reserve processes. For $\alpha = \beta = 0$ the solution presented by Ferenstein and Sierociński (1997) are obtained. The model considered by Muciek (2002) is not direct consequence of model (1). It implies that the solution of the optimal stopping problem for the risk reserve process investigated in Muciek (2002) is not simple conclusion from the formulae of Corollary 3.3 and Section 4.

There are also similar optimal stopping problems considered by Yasuda (1984) and Schöttl (1998). The solution of the problem (5) is not direct consequence of the results from neither Yasuda (1984) nor Schöttl (1998).

REFERENCES

- Boshuizen, F., Gouweleeuw, J., 1993. General optimal stopping theorems for semi-markov processes. Adv. in Appl. Probab. 4, 825–846.
 Davis, M., 1976. The representation of martingales of jump processes. SIAM J. Control Optim. 14, 623–638.
 Davis, M., 1993. Markov Models and Optimization. Chapman & Hall, London.

- Ferenstein, E., Sierociński, A., 1997. Optimal stopping of a risk process. *Applicaciones Mathematicae* 24(3), 335–342.
- Gikhman, I., Skorokhod, A., 1975. The theory of stochastic processes II. Vol. 218 of *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, translated from the Russian by S. Kotz.
- Jensen, U., 1997. An optimal stopping problem in risk theory. *Scand. Actuarial J.* 2, 149–159.
- Jensen, U., Hsu, G., 1993. Optimal stopping by means of point process observations with applications in reliability. *Math. Oper. Research* 18, 645–657.
- Muciek, B., 2002. Optimal stopping of a risk process: model with interest rates. *J. Appl. Probab.* 39, 261–270.
- Panjer, H., Willmot, G., 1992. *Insurance Risk Models*. Society of Actuaries, 475 North Martingale Road, Suite 800, Schaumburg, IL 60173-2226, U.S.A.
- Rolski, T., Schmidli, H., Schimdt, V., Teugels, J., 1998. *Stochastic Processes for Insurance and Finance*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Schöttl, A., 1998. Optimal stopping of a risk reserve process with interest and cost rates. *J. Appl. Probab.* 35, 115–123.
- Yasuda, M., 1984. On the optimal stopping problem of markov chains by variational inequalities. *J. College of Arts and Sciences B-17*, 11–16.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, WROCLAW, POLAND

Current address: AIG Credit SA, ul. Strzegomska 42c, 53-611 Wrocław, Poland

E-mail address: Bogdan.Muciek@aigcredit.pl

URL: <http://www.im.pwr.wroc.pl/~muciek>

(Corresponding author) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, WROCLAW, POLAND

E-mail address: Krzysztof.Szajowski@pwr.wroc.pl

URL: <http://neyman.im.pwr.wroc.pl/~szajow>